

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/900,229	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DAHAYANAKE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Daniel S. Metzmaier	<b>Art Unit</b> 1712	

**All Participants:**

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(2) Robert J. Dean (Reg # 33,490).

**Date of Interview:** 19 August 2004

**Status of Application:** Issue fee paid - not yet matched

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** ~ 11:50 AM

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none.*

Claims discussed:  
*none.*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**


*Informed applicants regarding the disposition of the "correction of Inventor Name" was treated as the filing of a data sheet and the change in the spelling of the inventor name had been made.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**DANIEL S. METZMAIER**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
**ART UNIT 1712**

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)